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## 1. Executive Summary

Xcel Energy Marketing has requested a system impact study for long-term Firm Point-to-Point transmission service from SPS to EDDY for 200 MW . The period of the service requested is from $6 / 1 / 2008$ to $6 / 1 / 2028$. The OASIS reservation numbers are $628572,628573,628574$, and 628575.

The principal objective of this study is to identify system problems and potential system modifications necessary to facilitate the additional 200 MW request while maintaining system reliability. The requested service was studied using two System Scenarios with SPS exporting and importing, respectively. The two scenarios were studied to capture worst case system limitations dependent on the bias of the transmission system. The ATC and upgrades required may vary from these results due to the status of one higher priority request.

The higher priority request includes a SECI to SPS 150 MW request. The study was performed with the higher priority request included in the models in addition to any determined upgrades assigned to the higher priority request.

Tables 1.1 and 1.2 list the SPP facility overloads caused or impacted by the transfer modeled for Scenario 1 and 2, respectively. Tables 2.1 and 2.2 lists the SPP voltage violations caused or impacted by the transfer modeled for Scenario 1 and 2, respectively. Tables 3.1 and 3.2 list the Non-SPP facility overloads caused or impacted by the transfer modeled, using Scenarios 1 and 2, respectively. Tables 4.1 and 4.2 list the Non-SPP voltage violations caused or impacted by the transfer modeled, using Scenarios 1 and 2, respectively. Tables 5.1 and 5.2 list the SPP facility overloads caused or impacted by modeling the selected upgrades to be assigned, using Scenario 1 and 2 , respectively.

The ATC for the SPS to EDDY request was assumed to be 0 MW . Per the customer, any remaining transmission capacity to EDDY, not reserved during the $6 / 1 / 2008$ to $6 / 1 / 2028$ service period, was assumed to be reserved with a POR of SPS, based on the requested service being a request to expand the EDDY DC Tie capacity by 200 MW . Preliminary estimates for two options are provided for increasing the DC Tie Capacity at EDDY. The first option is to install a parallel Variable Frequency Transformer with a $\$ 55,363,405$ preliminary estimate for engineering and construction. The second option is to install a parallel HVDC Tie with a $\$ 50,363,405$ preliminary estimate for engineering and construction. The estimated in-service date of the expansion is $3 / 15 / 2009$. The requested service will need to be deferred to a $3 / 15 / 2009$ start date. In addition to the expansion of the DC Tie Capacity at EDDY, the service requires AC transmission upgrades with a $\$ 48,439,450$ preliminary estimate for engineering and construction. All preliminary engineering and construction estimates quoted are subject to change based on further engineering. The AC transmission upgrades proposed provide the voltage support needed to reliably facilitate the requested service. Also, two SPS planned 230 kV lines are required for the requested transmission service at no additional cost to the customer, one from Pecos Interchange to Seven Rivers Interchange and one from Pecos Interchange to Potash Junction Interchange. The requested service is contingent on the two SPS planned 230 kV lines being completed prior to the start of service. The estimated in-service date of the two SPS planned 230 kV lines is $12 / 1 / 2008$. A facility study may now be conducted to summarize the operating limits and to determine the financial characteristics associated with the requested service.

## 2. Introduction

Xcel Energy Marketing has requested a system impact study for long-term Firm Point-to-Point transmission service from SPS to EDDY for 200 MW. The principal objective of this study is to identify the restraints on the SPP Regional Tariff System that may limit the requested service and determine the least cost solutions required to alleviate the limiting facilities.

This study includes steady-state contingency analyses (PSS/E function ACCC) and Available Transfer Capability (ATC) analyses. The steady-state analyses consider the impact of the request on transmission line and transformer loadings, and bus voltages for outages of single transmission lines, transformers, and generating units, and selected multiple transmission lines and transformers on the SPP system and first tier Non - SPP systems.

The requested service was studied using two System Scenarios with SPS exporting and importing, respectively. The two scenarios were studied to capture worst case system limitations dependent on the bias of the transmission system.

## 3. Study Methodology

## A. Description

The system impact analysis was conducted to determine the steady-state impact of the requested service on the SPP and first tier Non - SPP control area systems. The steady-state analysis was done to ensure current SPP Criteria and NERC Planning Standards requirements are fulfilled. The Southwest Power Pool conforms to the NERC Planning Standards, which provide the strictest requirements, related to voltage violations and thermal overloads during normal conditions and during a contingency. It requires that all facilities be within normal operating ratings for normal system conditions and within emergency ratings after a contingency. Normal operating ratings and emergency operating ratings monitored are Rate A and B in the SPP MDWG models, respectively. The upper bound and lower bound of the normal voltage range monitored is $105 \%$ and $95 \%$. The upper bound and lower bound of the emergency voltage range monitored is $110 \%$ and $90 \%$. The SPS Tuco 230 kV bus voltage is monitored at $92.5 \%$ due to pre-determined system stability limitations.

The contingency set includes AEPW, OKGE, SPS, SUNC, WEPL, and WFEC control area branches and ties 69 kV and above, any defined contingencies for these control areas, and generation unit outages for the control areas with SPP reserve share program redispatch. The monitor elements include all SPP control area branches, ties, and buses 69 kV and above, and all first tier Non - SPP control area branches and ties 69 kV and above. Voltage monitoring was performed for SPP control area buses 69 kV and above.

A $3 \%$ transfer distribution factor (TDF) cutoff was applied to all SPP control area facilities. For first tier Non - SPP control area facilities, a $3 \%$ TDF cutoff was applied to AECI, AMRN, and ENTR and a $2 \%$ TDF cutoff was applied to MEC, NPPD, and OPPD. For voltage monitoring, a 0.02 per unit change in voltage must occur due to the transfer or modeling upgrades to be considered a valid limit to the transfer.

## B. Model Updates

SPP used nine seasonal models to study the SPS to EDDY 200 MW transfer for the requested service period. The SPP MDWG 2004 Series Cases Update 42005 April Minimum (05AP), 2005 Spring Peak (05G), 2005 Summer Shoulder (05SH), 2005 Fall Peak (05FA), 2007 Summer Peak (07SP), 2007/08 Winter Peak (07WP), 2010 Summer Peak (10SP), 2010/11 Winter Peak (10WP), and the SPP MDWG 2005 Series Case 2015 Summer Peak (15SP) were used to study the impact of the requested service on the transmission system during the requested service period of $6 / 1 / 2008$ to $6 / 1 / 2028$. The Spring Peak models apply to April and May, the Summer Peak models apply to June through September, the Fall Peak models apply to October and November, and the Winter Peak models apply to December through March.

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the most current modeling information. From the eight seasonal models, two system scenarios were developed. Scenario 1 includes SWPP OASIS transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2004 and 2005 Series Cases flowing in a West to East direction with ERCOT exporting and the SPS Control Area exporting to outside control areas and exporting to the planned Lamar HVDC Tie. Scenario 2 includes transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2004 and 2005 Series Cases flowing in an East to West direction with ERCOT net importing and SPS importing from an outside control area and importing from the planned Lamar HVDC Tie. The system scenarios were developed
to minimize counter flows to the transfers studied. Both scenarios include higher priority service from SECI to SPS, totaling 150 MW , and assigned upgrades for that service.

In order to have seasonal cases for the study that serve as a good proxy for future seasonal models not available from the SPP MDWG 2004 Series Cases, the 2005 and 2007 seasonal cases were modified to include significant planned upgrades with in-service dates prior to the start date of the requested service. The 2010 and 2015 cases were not modified by adding any additional planned upgrades. The most significant SPS planned upgrades included in all study cases are two new 230 kV lines, one from Pecos Interchange to Seven Rivers Interchange and one from Pecos Interchange to Potash Junction Interchange. The requested service is contingent on the two SPS planned 230 kV lines being completed prior to the start of service. The estimated inservice date of the two SPS planned 230 kV lines is $12 / 1 / 2008$.

Due to a lack of SPS generation to serve load, to model existing transmission service, and to model the requested transmission service in the Summer Peaks, exploratory generation was used as needed by adding in order a Tolk unit 3 with a 540 MW max, a Tolk unit 4 with a 540 MW max, a Cunningham unit 5 with 190 MW max, and a Jones 3 with a 236 MW max. Other modeling assumptions include modeling the expanded portion of the EDDY DC tie with a unity power factor.

## C. Transfer Analysis

Using the selected cases both with and without the requested transfer modeled, the PSS/E Activity ACCC was run on the cases and compared to determine the facility overloads caused or impacted by the transfer. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the analysis can be found in Appendix A.

## D. Upgrade Analysis

Using the cases both with and without the assigned upgrades modeled and with and without the 200 MW transfer modeled, the PSS/E Activity ACCC was run on the cases and compared in order to determine the facility overloads caused or impacted by the assigned upgrades. The transfer distribution cutoffs and voltage threshold were applied to determine the impacted facilities. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the analysis can be found in Appendix A.

## 4. Expansion of DC Tie at EDDY

Per the customer, any remaining transmission capacity to EDDY, not reserved during the $6 / 1 / 2008$ to $6 / 1 / 2028$ service period, was assumed to be reserved with a POR of SPS, based on the requested service being a request to expand the EDDY DC Tie capacity by 200 MW . Preliminary estimates for two options are provided for increasing the DC Tie Capacity at EDDY. The first option is to install a parallel Variable Frequency Transformer with a $\$ 55,363,405$ preliminary estimate for engineering and construction. The second option is to install a parallel HVDC Tie with a $\$ 50,363,405$ preliminary estimate for engineering and construction. The estimated in-service date of the expansion is $3 / 15 / 2009$. The preliminary engineering and construction estimates quoted are subject to change based on further engineering.

## 5. Study Results

## A. Study Analysis Results

Tables 1 through $\underline{4}$ contain the initial steady-state analysis results of the System Impact Study. The Tables are in the attached workbook SPP-2003-287-2 Tables. The tables identify the seasonal case in which the event occurred, the facility control area location, applicable ratings of the overloaded facility, the loading percentage or voltage with and without the transfer and upgrades, the percent transfer distribution factor (TDF) if applicable, and the estimated ATC value using interpolation if calculated. Comments are provided in the tables to document any SPP or Non - SPP identification or assignment of the event, existing mitigations plans or criteria to disregard the event as a limiting constraint, upgrades and costs to mitigate a limiting constraint, or any specific study procedures associated with modeling an event.

Tables 1.1 and 1.2 list the SPP facility overloads caused or impacted by the transfer modeled for Scenario 1 and 2, respectively. Tables 2.1 and 2.2 list the SPP voltage violations caused or impacted by the transfer modeled for Scenario 1 and 2, respectively. Tables 3.1 and 3.2 list the Non-SPP facility overloads caused or impacted by the transfer modeled, using Scenarios 1 and 2, respectively. Tables 4.1 and 4.2 list the Non-SPP voltage violations caused or impacted by the transfer modeled, using Scenarios 1 and 2, respectively. Selected solutions with known engineering and construction costs are provided for the SPP facility overloads and voltage violations found in the Tables. Tables 5.1 and 5.2 list the SPP facility overloads caused or impacted by modeling the selected upgrades to be assigned, using Scenario 1 and 2, respectively.

From the results in the Tables, a number of solutions for contingencies analyzed did not converge with the 200 MW added at the EDDY DC Tie. The non-convergence was caused by voltage collapse. The selected solutions proposed provide the voltage support needed to reliably facilitate the requested service.

Tables 1.1a and 1.2a document the modeling representation of the events identified in Tables 1.1 and 1.2 to include bus numbers and bus names.

## 6. Conclusion

The ATC for the SPS to EDDY request was assumed to be 0 MW . Per the customer, any remaining transmission capacity to EDDY, not reserved during the $6 / 1 / 2008$ to $6 / 1 / 2028$ service period, was assumed to be reserved with a POR of SPS, based on the requested service being a request to expand the EDDY DC Tie capacity by 200 MW . Preliminary estimates for two options are provided for increasing the DC Tie Capacity at EDDY. The first option is to install a parallel Variable Frequency Transformer with a $\$ 55,363,405$ preliminary estimate for engineering and construction. The second option is to install a parallel HVDC Tie with a $\$ 50,363,405$ preliminary estimate for engineering and construction. The estimated in-service date of the expansion is $3 / 15 / 2009$. The requested service will need to be deferred to a $3 / 15 / 2009$ start date. In addition to the expansion of the DC Tie Capacity at EDDY, the service requires AC transmission upgrades with a $\$ 48,439,450$ preliminary estimate for engineering and construction. All preliminary engineering and construction estimates quoted are subject to change based on further engineering. The AC transmission upgrades proposed provide the voltage support needed to reliably facilitate the requested service. Also, two SPS planned 230 kV lines are required for the requested transmission service at no additional cost to the customer, one from Pecos Interchange to Seven Rivers Interchange and one from Pecos Interchange to Potash Junction Interchange. The requested service is contingent on the two SPS planned 230 kV lines being completed prior to the start of service. The estimated in-service date of the two SPS planned 230 kV lines is $12 / 1 / 2008$. A facility study may now be conducted to summarize the operating limits and to determine the financial characteristics associated with the requested service.

## Appendix A

## PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

## BASE CASES:

Solutions - Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution (FDNS)

1. Tap adjustment - Stepping
2. Area interchange control - Tie lines only
3. Var limits - Apply immediately
4. Solution options - $\underline{X}$ Phase shift adjustment
_Flat start
_ Lock DC taps
_ Lock switched shunts

## ACCC CASES:

Solutions - AC contingency checking (ACCC)

1. MW mismatch tolerance -0.5
2. Contingency case rating - Rate $B$
3. Percent of rating -100
4. Output code - Summary
5. Min flow change in overload report -1 mw
6. Excld cases w/ no overloads form report - YES
7. Exclude interfaces from report - NO
8. Perform voltage limit check - YES
9. Elements in available capacity table -60000
10. Cutoff threshold for available capacity table - 99999.0
11. Min. contng. case Vltg chng for report -0.02
12. Sorted output - None

Newton Solution:

1. Tap adjustment - Stepping
2. Area interchange control - Tie lines only
3. Var limits - Apply automatically
4. Solution options - $\underline{X}$ Phase shift adjustment

Flat start
_ Lock DC taps
_ Lock switched shunts

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Study } \\ & \text { Case } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { From } \\ \text { Area } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { To } \\ \text { Area } \end{gathered}$ | Monitored Branch Overload | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate } \\ \hline \text { RMVA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { BC \% } \\ \text { Loading } \end{array}$ |  | \%TDF | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ATC } \\ \text { (MW) } \end{array}$ | Solution | $\overline{\text { Estimated }} \text { Cost }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05AP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 05 G |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 05SH |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 05FA |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 07SP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 07WP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 10SP | SPS | SPS | MUSTANG STATION 230/115KV TRANSFORMER | 150 | 95.8 | 101.9 | 4.6 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 51971 [MUSTG1 113.800] DISPATCH | 200 | Loss of Combustion Turbine at a Combined-Cycle Plant, Redispatch of Steam Unit on 230 kV will Relieve Loading |  |
| 10SP | SPS | SPS | MUSTANG STATION 230/115KV TRANSFORMER | 150 | 95.2 | 101.4 | 4.7 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 51972 [MUSTG2 113.800] DISPATCH | 200 | Loss of Combustion Turbine at a Combined-Cycle Plant, Redispatch of Steam Unit on 230 kV will Relieve Loading |  |
| 10SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | EDDY COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTERCHANGE 345KV | NA | Add $+150 /-50$ SVC at Chaves County Interchange 230 kV bus, 230 kV bus, Add 50 MVAR at Capacitor Bank Eddy County Interchange 230 kV bus, Add 2-14.4 MVAR Cap Banks at Potash Junction Interchange 115 kV bus, \& Add 2-14.4 MVAR Capacitor Banks at Roosevelt County Interchange 115 kV bus, Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified | \$14,200,000 |
| 10SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | EDDY COUNTY INTERCHANGE 345/230KV TRANSFORMER | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No |  |
| 10 WP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 2 | 541 | 103.5 | 108.4 | 13.3 | ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1 | 0 | Conductor Limited, Build a new 57 mile 345 kV line from EDDY 345 kV line, which requires two 345 kV terminals and a $345 / 230 \mathrm{kV}$ transformers. | \$26,139,450 |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1 | 541 | 103.7 | 108.6 | 13.1 | ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 2 | 0 | Conductor Limited, Relieved by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 5SP | SPS | SPS | ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230/1 15KV TRANSFORMER | 289.8 | 109.4 | 114.8 | 7.8 | OASII INTERCHANGE-ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV | 0 | Transformer Limited, Relieved by Selected UPgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | CURRY COUNTY INTERCHANGE - ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 2 | 161 | 111.7 | 116.4 | 3.8 | OASIS INTERCHANGE - ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1 | 0 | Conductor Limited, Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | EDDY COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTERCHANGE 345KV | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | EDDY Count INTERCHANGE 345/230/13.2KV TRANSFORMER | N/A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Estimated Engineering and Construction Cost | \$40,339,450 |


| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Study } \\ \text { Case } \end{array}$ | AREA | Monitored Bus with Violation | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BC Voltage } \\ & \text { (PU) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { TC Voltage } \\ \text { (PU) } \end{array}$ | Outaged Branch Causing Voltage Violation | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ATC } \\ \text { (MW) } \end{array}$ | Solution | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Estimated } \\ \text { Cost } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05AP |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 05G |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 05SH |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 05FA |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 07SP | SPS | 59996 EPTNP-D6 230 | 0.9969 | 0.8995 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51440 TOLK7 345 TO BUS 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT1 | 199 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 07SP | SPS | 52185 EDDYCO 6230 | 0.9970 | 0.9000 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51440 TOLK7 345 TO BUS 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT1 | 200 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 07SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9614 | 0.8526 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51440 TOLK7 345 TO BUS 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 07SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9630 | 0.8541 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 TO BUS 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 07SP | SPS | 52186 EDDYCO7 345 | 0.9970 | 0.9000 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51440 TOLK7 345 TO BUS 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 07WP |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 10SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51440 [TOLK7 345.00] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00] CKT 1 | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 10SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 [EDDYCO 6230.00 ] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00] CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 10WP |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51440 [TOLK7 345.00] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00 CKT 1 | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 15SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 [EDDYCO 6230000 ] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00 ] TO BUS 52187 [EDDYCO 113.200] CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 59996 EPTNP-D6 230 | 0.9597 | 0.8782 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 TO BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 CKT1 | 146 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52185 EDDYCO 6230 | 0.9595 | 0.8784 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 TO BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 CKT1 | 147 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 59996 EPTNP-D6 230 | 0.9457 | 0.8855 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 TO BUS 52253 POTJCT6 230 CKT1 | 152 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52185 EDDYCO 6230 | 0.9455 | 0.8856 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 TO BUS 52253 POTJCT6 230 CKT1 | 152 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52308 FIESTA3 115 | 0.9613 | 0.8941 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 TO BUS 52253 POTJCT6 230 CKT1 | 182 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52325 LVNG\&NA269.0 | 0.9707 | 0.8940 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 TO BUS 52253 POTJCT6 230 CKT1 | 184 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52304 NCANALT3 115 | 0.9645 | 0.8968 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 TO BUS 52253 POTJCT6 230 CKT1 | 191 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52323 WHITEC2 69.0 | 0.9751 | 0.8987 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 TO BUS 52253 POTJCT6 230 CKT1 | 197 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51195 OASIS6 230 | 0.9102 | 0.8889 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51195 OASIS6 230 TO BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9223 | 0.8922 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51195 OASIS6 230 TO BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9195 | 0.8441 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 TO BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9094 | 0.8487 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 TO BUS 52253 POTJCT6 230 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9066 | 0.8571 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51195 OASIS6 230 TO BUS 52073 CHAVES6 230 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9133 | 0.8850 | OPENLINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9141 | 0.8861 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT2 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9141 | 0.8861 | Base Case | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52186 EDDYCO7 345 | 0.9595 | 0.8837 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 TO BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52186 EDDYCO7 345 | 0.9485 | 0.8924 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 TO BUS 52253 POTJCT6 230 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52253 POTJCT6 230 | 0.9202 | 0.8602 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 TO BUS 52253 POTJCT6 230 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52293 7RIVER6 230 | 0.9559 | 0.8839 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 TO BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52293 7RIVER6 230 | 0.9280 | 0.8664 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 TO BUS 52253 POTJCT6 230 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52310 CARLSBD3 115 | 0.9624 | 0.8952 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 TO BUS 52253 POTJCT6 230 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52313 PECOS6 230 | 0.9306 | 0.8688 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 TO BUS 52253 POTJCT6 230 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52314 PECOS3 115 | 0.9641 | 0.8965 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52209 CUNNINH6 230 TO BUS 52253 POTJCT6 230 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Estimated Engineering and Construction Cost | $\$ 0$ |

Table 3.1 - Non-SPP Facility Overloads

## Southwest Power Pool

Caused or Impacted by Transfer Using Scenario 1
System Impact Study

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { Study } \\ \text { Case } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { From } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | To Area | Monitored Branch Overload | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate } \\ \text { <MVA> } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { BC \% } \\ \text { Loading } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { TC \% } \\ \text { Loading } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \%TDF | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05AP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05G |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05SH |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05FA |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07SP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07WP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10SP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10WP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.1 - Non-SPP Voltage Violations
System Impact Study
Caused or Impacted by Transfer Using Scenario 1

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Study } \\ \text { Case } \end{array}$ | AREA | Monitored Bus with Violation | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{BC} \text { Voltage } \\ (\mathrm{PU}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { TC Voltage } \\ (\mathrm{PU}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Outaged Branch Causing Voltage Violation | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05AP |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| 05G |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| 05SH |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| 05FA |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| 07SP |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| 07WP |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| 10SP |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| 10WP |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |

Caused or Impacted by Selected Upgrades
using Scenario 1

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Study } \\ \text { Case } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | From Area | $\begin{gathered} \text { To } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Monitored Branch Overload | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate } \\ \text { <MVA> } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | BC Without Upgrades \%Loading | BCWith Upgrades \%Loading | TCWithout Upgrades \%Loading | TCWith Upgrades \%Loading | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | Solution | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05AP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05G |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05SH |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05FA |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07SP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07WP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10SP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10WP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | 52072 CHAVES3 115 to 52073 CHAVES6 230 CKT 2 | 172.5 | 94.3 | 107.0 | 94.4 | 107.7 | 52072 CHAVES3 115 to 52073 CHAVES6 230 CKT1 | Replace with 250 MVA Transformer | \$1,800,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Estimated Engineering and Construction Cost | \$1,800,000 |


| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Study } \\ \text { Case } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { From } \\ \text { Area } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Trea } \end{array}$ | Monitored Branch Overload | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Rate } \\ \hline \text { <MVA } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { BC \% } \\ \text { Loading } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { TC \% } \\ \hline \text { Loading } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \%TDF | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ATC } \\ & (\mathrm{MW}) \end{aligned}$ | Solution | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05AP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 05 G |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 05SH |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | EDDY COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTERCHANGE 345KV | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 05SH |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | EDDY Countr INTERCHANGE 345/230KV TRANSFORMER | N/A |  |  |
| 05FA |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | EDDY COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTERCHANGE 345KV | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 55FA |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | EDDY County INTERCHANGE 345/230KV TRANSFORMER | N/A |  |  |
| 07SP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 07WP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | TOLK INTERCHANGE 345/230KV TRANSFORMER | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 07WP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Convierged |  |  |  |  | EDDY COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTTERCHANGE 345K | N/ |  |  |
| 07WP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | EDDDY COUNTY INTERCAANGE 345/230KV TRANSFORMER | N/A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rebuild 9 miles of 115 kV circuit with 397 ACSR on $\mathrm{T}-0-102$ |  |
| 10SP | SPS | SPS | PALODU - RANDALL COUNTY INTERCHANGE 115KV | 99 | 94.5 | 103.1 | 4.2 | AMARILLO S INTERCHANGE - SWISHER COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV | 128 |  | \$1,170,000 |
| 10SP | SPS | SPS | HAPPY INTERCHANGE - PALODU 115KV | 99 | 92.8 | 101.4 | 4.2 | AMARILLO S INTERCHANGE - SWISHER COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV | 168 | Rebuild 24 miles of 115 kV circuit with 397 ACSR on T-0-102 structures. | \$3,130,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No | s, |
| 10SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | EDDY COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTERCHANGE 345KV | N/A | Limitations Identified |  |
| P |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | EDDY County INTERCHANGE 345/230KV TRANSFORMER | N/A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No |  |
| 10 WP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | TOLK INTERCHANGE 345/230KV TRANSFORMER | N/A |  |  |
| 10WP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | EDDY Count Interchange - Tolk interchange 345kV | N/A |  |  |
| 10WP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | EDDY County INTERCHANGE 345/230KV TRANSFORMER | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | CURRY COUNTY INTERCHANGE - ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 2 | 161 | 104.5 | 115.7 | 9.0 | OASIS INTERCHANGE - ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1 | 0 | Conductor Limited, Relieved by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | ROOSEVELT COUNTY Y INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1 | 541 | 102.0 | 112.9 | 29.6 | ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 2 | 0 | Conductor Limited, Relieved by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS |  | ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 2 | 541 | 101.9 | 112.9 | 29.8 | ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1 | 0 | Conductor Limited, Relieved by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230/115KV TRANSFORMER | 289.8 | 105.7 | 117.4 | 17.0 | OASIS INTERCHANGE - ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV | 0 | Transformer Limited, Relieved by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | FRIONA - HEREFORD INTERCHANGE 115KV | 99 | 96.1 | 105.4 | 4.6 | ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTERCHANGE 230KV | 83 | Conductor Limited, Relieved by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | FRIONA - HEREFORD INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1 | 99 | 95.4 | 104.4 | 4.5 | ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE - TOLK INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 2 | 102 | Conductor Limited, Relieved by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | MUSTANG STATION 230/115KV TRANSFORMER | 150 | 89.5 | 109.1 | 14.7 | LEA COUNTY INTERCHANGE - YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV | 107 | Replace with 250 MVA Transformer | 52,000,000 |
|  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  | 退 |  | Loss of Combustion Turbine at a Combined-Cycle Plant, |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | MUSTANG STATION 230/115KV TRANSFORMER | 150 | 102.2 | 109.5 | 5.5 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 51971 [MUSTG1 113.800] DISPATCH | 200 | Redispatch of Steam Unit on 230 kV will Relieve Loading |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | MUSTANG STATION 230/115KV TRANSFORMER | 150 | 101.7 | 109.0 | 5.5 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 51972 [MUSTG2 113.800] DISPATCH | 200 | Loss of Combustion Turbine at a Combined-Cycle Plant, Redispatch of Steam Unit on 230 kV will Relieve Loading |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | AMARILLO S INTERCHANGE - NICHOLS STATION 230KV | N/A | Limitations Identified |  |
| ${ }^{15 S P}$ |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | CHAVES COUNTY INTERCHANGE - OASIS INTERCHANGE 230KV | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | TOLK INTERCHANGE 345/230/13.2KV TRANSFORMER | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | EDDY COUNTY M NTERCHANGE- TOLK INT ERCHANGE 345KV | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | EDDY COUNTY INTERCHANGE 345/230/13.2KV TRANSFORMER | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | CUNNINGHAM STATION - POTASH JUNCTION INTERCHANGE 230KV | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 51441 [TOLK1 124.000] DiSPATCH | N/A |  |  |
| ${ }^{15 S P}$ |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 51442 [TOLK2 2124.000$]$ DISPATCH | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52212 [CUNN2 120.000] DISPATCH | N/A | mated Engineering and Construction Cost | \$6,300,000 |


| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Study } \\ \text { Case } \end{array}$ | AREA | Monitored Bus with Violation | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { BC Voltage } \\ \text { (PU) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { TC Voltage } \\ \text { (PU) } \end{array}$ | Outaged Branch Causing Voltage Violation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ATC } \\ & \text { (MW) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Solution | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Estimated } \\ \text { Cost } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05AP |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 05G |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 05SH |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51440 [TOLK7 345.00] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00] CKT 1 | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 05SH |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 [EDDYCO 6230.00 ] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00] CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 05FA |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51440 [TOLK7 345.00] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00] CKT 1 | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, № Limitations Identified |  |
| 05FA |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 [EDDYCO 6230.00 ] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00 C CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 07SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9568 | 0.8576 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51440 TOLK7 345 TO BUS 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 07SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9601 | 0.8647 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 TO BUS 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 07WP | SPS | 59996 EPTNP-D6 230 | 0.9998 | 0.8995 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52212 [CUNN2 120.000] DISPATCH | 199 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 07WP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9941 | 0.8934 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52212 [CUNN2 120.000] DISPATCH | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 07WP | SPS | 52205 LEACO6 230 | 0.9794 | 0.8980 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52212 [CUNN2 120.000] DISPATCH | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 07WP | SPS | 52209 CUNNINH6 230 | 0.9776 | 0.8979 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52212 [CUNN2 120.000 ] DISPATCH | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 07WP | SPS | 52253 POTJCT6 230 | 0.9705 | 0.8873 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52212 [CUNN2 120.000] DISPATCH | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 07WP | SPS | 52293 7RIVER6 230 | 0.9845 | 0.8889 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52212 [CUNN2 120.000] DISPATCH | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 07WP | SPS | 52313 PECOS6 230 | 0.9810 | 0.8942 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52212 [CUNN2 120.000] DISPATCH | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 07WP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51439 [TOLKTP6 230.00] TO BUS 51440 [TOLK7 345.00] CKT 1 | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 07WP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51440 [TOLK7 345.00] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00] CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 07WP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 [EDDYCO 6230.00 ] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00] CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 10SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51440 [TOLK7 345.00] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00] CKT 1 | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 10SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 [EDDYCO 6230.00 ] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00] CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 10WP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51439 [TOLKTP6 230.00] TO BUS 51440 [TOLK7 345.00] CKT 1 | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 10WP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51440 [TOLK7 345.00] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00] CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 10WP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 [EDDYCO 6230.00 ] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00] CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52087 CAPITAN269.0 | 0.9034 | 0.8669 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52073 CHAVES6 230 TO BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 CKT1 | 110 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52081 CV-PINE269.0 | 0.9141 | 0.8781 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52073 CHAVES6 230 TO BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 CKT1 | 111 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 59995 PNM-DC6 230 | 0.8824 | 0.8467 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT1 | 112 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 59995 PNM-DC6 230 | 0.8839 | 0.8492 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT2 | 115 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52162 NAVAJ33 115 | 0.9245 | 0.8845 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52184 EDDYCO3 115 TO BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 CKT1 | 122 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52166 NAVAJ43 115 | 0.9248 | 0.8847 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52184 EDDYCO3 115 TO BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 CKT1 | 124 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 59996 EPTNP-D6 230 | 0.9484 | 0.8753 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52185 [EDDYCO 6230.00] | 132 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52185 EDDYCO 6230 | 0.9483 | 0.8756 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52185 [EDDYCO 6230.00 ] | 133 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 5SP | SPS | 52079 PRICE2 69.0 | 0.9251 | 0.8896 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52073 CHAVES6 230 TO BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 CKT1 | 142 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51170 FE-CINT3 115 | 0.9291 | 0.8881 | OPENLINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT1 | 142 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51178 FE-HOLN3 115 | 0.9304 | 0.8902 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT1 | 151 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51170 FE-CINT3 115 | 0.9307 | 0.8910 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT2 | 155 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51162 WCLOV13 115 | 0.9323 | 0.8912 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT1 | 157 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51178 FE-HOLN3 115 | 0.9321 | 0.8931 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT2 | 165 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51162 WCLOV13 115 | 0.9340 | 0.8941 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT2 | 170 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52098 BRASHER3 115 | 0.9218 | 0.8962 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52073 CHAVES6 230 TO BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 CKT1 | 170 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51178 FE-HOLN3 115 | 0.9178 | 0.8951 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51202 ROOSEVL3 115 TO BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 CKT1 | 177 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51166 CANNOA3 115 | 0.9376 | 0.8962 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT1 | 181 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52086 BRASHTP3 115 | 0.9235 | 0.8981 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52073 CHAVES6 230 TO BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 CKT1 | 185 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51170 FE-CINT3 115 | 0.9218 | 0.8988 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51202 ROOSEVL3 115 TO BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 CKT1 | 189 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52078 URTON3 115 | 0.9260 | 0.8993 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52073 CHAVES6 230 TO BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 CKT1 | 195 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51166 CANNOA3 115 | 0.9392 | 0.8990 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT2 | 195 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52088 SAMSON3 115 | 0.9258 | 0.8998 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52073 CHAVES6 230 TO BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 CKT1 | 199 | Relieved or Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51195 OASIS6 230 | 0.9026 | 0.8515 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51195 OASIS6 230 TO BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51195 OASIS6 230 | 0.8797 | 0.8406 | OPENLINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51195 OASIS6 230 | 0.8811 | 0.8430 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT2 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 | 0.8824 | 0.8467 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 | 0.8839 | 0.8492 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT2 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9234 | 0.8548 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51195 OASIS6 230 TO BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9267 | 0.8760 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52184 EDDYCO3 115 TO BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9428 | 0.8831 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52208 CUNNINH3 115 TO BUS 52358 BUCKEYT3 115 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9418 | 0.8782 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52253 POTJCT6 230 TO BUS 52313 PECOS6 230 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9428 | 0.8845 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52358 BUCKEYT3 115 TO BUS 52496 LE-TXCO3 115 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9139 | 0.8521 | OPENLINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9144 | 0.8537 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 TO BUS 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT2 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9139 | 0.8521 | Base Case | 200 | " |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.8176 | 0.7920 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52073 CHAVES6 230 TO BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 CKT1 | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9374 | 0.8753 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52214 [CUNN4 122.000$]$ DISPATCH | 200 |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52073 CHAVES6 230 | 0.9116 | 0.8378 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52185 [EDDYCO 6230.00] | 200 |  |  |


| 15SP | SPS | 52084 ROSWLC3 115 | 0.9222 | 0.8959 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52073 CHAVES6 230 TO BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15SP | SPS | 52094 ROSWIN3 115 | 0.9240 | 0.8985 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52073 CHAVES6 230 TO BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52154 ARTESIA 115 | 0.9235 | 0.8833 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52184 EDDYCO3 115 TO BUS 52185 EDDYCO 6230 CKT1 | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52186 EDDYCO7 345 | 0.9571 | 0.8847 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52185 [EDDYCO 6230.00] | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 15SP | SPS | 52293 7RIVER6 230 | 0.9495 | 0.8823 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52185 [EDDYCO 6230.00] | 200 | Not a Load Serving Bus |  |
| 15SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 50915 [NICHOL6 230.00] TO BUS 51041 [AMARLS6 230.00] CKT 1 | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 15SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51195 [OASIS6 230.00 ] TO BUS 52073 [CHAVES6 230.00] CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51438 [TOLK 113.200 ] TO BUS 51440 [TOLK7 345.00 ] TO BUS 51439 [TOLKTP6 230.00] CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 51440 [TOLK7 345.00] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00] CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 [EDDYCO 6230.00$]$ TO BUS 52209 [CUNNINH6230.00] CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52185 [EDDYCO 6230.00 ] TO BUS 52186 [EDDYCO7 345.00 ] TO BUS 52187 [EDDYCO 113.200] CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 52209 [CUNNINH6230.00] TO BUS 52212 [CUNN2 120.000] CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 51441 [TOLK1 124.000] DISPATCH | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 51442 [TOLK2 124.000] DISPATCH | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52212 [CUNN2 120.000] DISPATCH | N/A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Estimated Engineering and Construction Cost | \$0 |

## Southwest Power Pool

Table 3.2 - Non-SPP Facility Overloads
System Impact Study

## Caused or Impacted by Transfer Using Scenario 2

| Study Case | From Area | To Area | Monitored Branch Overload | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate } \\ \text { <MVA> } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { BC \% } \\ \text { Loading } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TC \% } \\ & \text { Loading } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \%TDF | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05AP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05G |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05SH |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05FA |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07SP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07WP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10SP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10WP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.2 - Non-SPP Voltage Violations
System Impact Study
Caused or Impacted by Transfer Using Scenario 2

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Study } \\ \text { Case } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | AREA | Monitored Bus with Violation | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BC Voltage } \\ \text { (PU) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { TC Voltage } \\ \text { (PU) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Outaged Branch Causing Voltage Violation | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05AP |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| 05G |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| 05SH |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| 05FA |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| 07SP |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| 07WP |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| 10SP |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| 10WP |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |

Caused or Impacted by Selected Upgrades
using Scenario 2

| Study Case | From Area | $\begin{gathered} \text { To } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Monitored Branch Overload | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate } \\ \text { <MVA> } \end{gathered}$ | BCWithout Upgrades \%Loading | BCWith Upgrades \%Loading | TCWithout Upgrades \%Loading | TCWith Upgrades \%Loading | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | Solution | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05AP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05G |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05SH |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05FA |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07SP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07WP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10SP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10WP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | 52072 CHAVES3 115 to 52073 CHAVES6 230 CKT 2 | 172.5 | 95.0 | 105.9 | 96.8 | 109.4 | 52072 CHAVES3 115 to 52073 CHAVES6 230 CKT1 | See Previous Upgrade Specified for Facility in Table 5.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Estimated Engineering and Construction Cosi | \$0 |


| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Study } \\ \text { Case } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { From } \\ & \text { Area } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { To } \\ \text { Area } \end{array}$ | Monitored Branch Overload | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate } \\ \text { <MVA }> \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { BC \% } \\ \text { Loading } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { TC \% } \\ \text { Loading } \end{array}$ | \%TDF | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ATC } \\ & (\mathrm{MW}) \end{aligned}$ | Solution | Estimated <br> Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05AP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 05 G |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 05SH |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 05FA |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 07SP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 07WP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 10SP | SPS | SPS | 51966*MUSTGN3 11551969 MUSTANG6 2301 | 150 | 95.8 | 101.9 | 4.6 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 51971 [MUSTG1 113.800] DISPATCH | 200 | Loss of Combustion Turbine at a Combined-Cycle Plant, Redispatch of Steam Unit on 230 kV will Relieve Loading |  |
| 10SP |  |  |  |  | 95.2 | 101.4 |  |  | 200 | Loss of Combustion Turbine at a Combined-Cycle Plant, Redispatch of Steam Unit on 230 kV will Relieve Loading |  |
| 10SP | SPS | SPS | 51966*MUSTGN3 11551969 MUSTANG6 2301 | 150 | 95.2 | 101.4 | 4.7 | REMOVE UNIT 1FROM BUS 51972 [MUSTG2 113.800] DISPATCH | 200 | Redispatch of Steam Unit on 230 kV will Relieve Loading |  |
| 10SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 51440 TOLK7 345 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT 1 | N/A | Add 50 MVAR Capacitor Bank at Chaves County Interchange 230 kV bus, Add 50 MVAR at Capacitor Bank Eddy County Interchange 230 kV bus, Add 2-14.4 MVAR Cap Banks at Potash Junction Interchange 115 kV bus, \& Add 2-14.4 MVAR Capacitor Banks at Roosevelt County Interchange 115 kV bus, Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified | \$14,200,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No |  |
| 10SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 52185 EDDYCO 6230 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT 1 | N/A | Limitations Identified |  |
| 10WP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Conductor Limited, Build a new 57 mile 345 kV line from Chaves County Interchange to a New Substation, on the Tolk to EDDY 345 kV line, which requires two 345 kV terminals and a $345 / 230$ |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 to 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT 2 | 541 | 103.5 | 108.4 | 13.3 | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 to 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT 1 | 0 | kV transformers. | \$26,139,450 |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 to 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT 1 | 541 | 103.7 | 108.6 | 13.1 | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 to 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT 2 | 0 | Conductor Limited, Relieved by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | 51202 ROOSEVL3 115 to 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 CKT 1 | 289.8 | 109.4 | 114.8 | 7.8 | 51195 OASIS6 230 to 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 CKT 1 | 0 | Transformer Limited, Relieved by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | 51176 CURRY3 115 to 51202 ROOSEVL3 115 CKT 2 | 161 | 111.7 | 116.4 | 3.8 | 51195 OASIS6 230 to 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 CKT 1 | 0 | Conductor Limited, Impact Removed by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 51440 TOLK7 345 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT 1 | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 52185 EDDYCO 6230 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 tp 52187 EDDYCO 113.2 CKT 1 | /A |  |  |


| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Study } \\ \text { Case } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { From } \\ \text { Area } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { To } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Monitored Branch Overload | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate } \\ \text { <MVA> } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { BC \% } \\ \text { Loading } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { TC } \% \\ \hline \text { Loading } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \%TDF | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ATC } \\ \text { (MW) } \end{array}$ | Solution | Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05AP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 05 G |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 05SH |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 51440 TOLK7 345 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT 1 | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 05SH |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 52185 EDDYCO 6230 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 05FA |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 51440 TOLK7 345 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT 1 | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 05FA |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 52185 EDDYCO 6230 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 07SP |  |  | NONE IDENTIFIED |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| 07WP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 51439 TOLKTP6 230 to 51440 TOLK7 345 CKT 1 | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 07WP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 51440 TOLK7 345 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 07WP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 52185 EDDYCO 6230 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 10SP | SPS | SPS | 51020 RANDALL3 115 to 51082 PALODU 3115 CKT 1 | 99 | 94.5 | 103.1 | 4.2 | 51041 AMARLS6 230 to 51321 SWISHER6 230 CKT 1 | 128 | Rebuild 9 miles of 115 kV circuit with 397 ACSR on T-0-102 structures. | \$1,170,000 |
| 10SP | SPS | SPS | 51082 PALODU 3115 to 51302 HAPPY3 115 CKT 1 | 99 | 92.8 | 101.4 | 4.2 | 51041 AMARLS6 230 to 51321 SWISHER6 230 CKT 1 | 168 | Rebuild 24 miles of 115 kV circuit with 397 ACSR on T-0-102 structures. | \$3,130,000 |
| 10SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 51440 TOLK7 345 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT 1 | N/A | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No Limitations Identified |  |
| 10SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 52185 EDDYCO 6230 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No |  |
| 10WP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 51439 TOLKTP6 230 to 51440 TOLK7 345 CKT 1 | N/A | Limitations Identified |  |
| 10WP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 51440 TOLK7 345 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 10WP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 52185 EDDYCO 6230 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | 51176 CURRY3 115 to 51202 ROOSEVL3 115 CKT 2 | 161 | 104.5 | 115.7 | 9.0 | 51195 OASIS6 230 to 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 CKT 1 | 0 | Conductor Limited, Relieved by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 to 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT 1 | 541 | 102.0 | 112.9 | 29.6 | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 to 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT 2 | 0 | Conductor Limited, Relieved by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 to 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT 2 | 541 | 101.9 | 112.9 | 29.8 | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 to 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT 1 | 0 | Conductor Limited, Relieved by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | 51202 ROOSEVL3 115 to 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 CKT 1 | 289.8 | 105.7 | 117.4 | 17.0 | 51195 OASIS6 230 to 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 CKT 1 | 0 | Transformer Limited, Relieved by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | 51106 HEREFD3 115 to 51122 FRIONA3 115 CKT 1 | 99 | 96.1 | 105.4 | 4.6 | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 to 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT 1 | 83 | Conductor Limited, Relieved by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | 51106 HEREFD3 115 to 51122 FRIONA3 115 CKT 1 | 99 | 95.4 | 104.4 | 4.5 | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 to 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT 2 | 102 | Conductor Limited, Relieved by Selected Upgrades |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | 51966 MUSTGN3 115 to 51969 MUSTANG6 230 CKT 1 | 150 | 89.5 | 109.1 | 14.7 | 51891 YOAKUM6 230 to 52205 LEACO6 230 CKT 1 | 107 | Replace with 250 MVA Transformer | \$2,000,000 |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | 51966 MUSTGN3 115 to 51969 MUSTANG6 230 CKT 1 | 150 | 102.2 | 109.5 | 5.5 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 51971 [MUSTG1 113.800] DISPATCH | 200 | Loss of Combustion Turbine at a Combined-Cycle Plant, Redispatch of Steam Unit on 230 kV will Relieve Loading |  |
| 15SP | SPS | SPS | 51966 MUSTGN3 115 to 51969 MUSTANG6 230 CKT 1 | 150 | 101.7 | 109.0 | 5.5 | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 51972 [MUSTG2 113.800] DISPATCH | 200 | Loss of Combustion Turbine at a Combined-Cycle Plant, Redispatch of Steam Unit on 230 kV will Relieve Loading |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Contingency Solution Converged with Selected Upgrades, No |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 50915 NICHOL6 230 to 51041 AMARLS6 230 CKT 1 | N/A | Limitations Identified |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 51195 OASIS6 230 to 52073 CHAVES6 230 CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 51438 TOLK 113.2 to 51440 TOLK7 345 to 51439 TOLKTP6 230 CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 51440 TOLK7 345 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 52185 EDDYCO 623052209 CUNNINH6230 CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 52185 EDDYCO 6230 to 52186 EDDYCO7 345 tp 52187 EDDYCO 113.2 CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | 52209 CUNNINH6230 to 52253 POTJCT6 230 CKT 1 | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 51441 [TOLK1 124.000] DISPATCH | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 51442 [TOLK2 124.000 ] DISPATCH | N/A |  |  |
| 15SP |  |  | Contingency Solution Not Converged |  |  |  |  | REMOVE UNIT 1 FROM BUS 52212 [CUNN2 120.000] DISPATCH | N/A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Estimated Engineering and Construction Cost | \$6,300,000 |

